Looking beyond the Single Window for ICT solutions to SPS management

John C. Keyser
The World Bank

WTO, Geneva 20 March 2018

Improvements being made through single windows and other modern approaches

Single Window and Safe Trade Solutions

- Time and cost savings
- Information exchange
- Streamlined processing
- Online applications and payments
- Electronic permits (e-phyto)
- Risk based inspections
- Joint inspections



Many other "traditional" challenges remain

Even with Single Window, several bottlenecks remain:

- Limited access to detailed SPS information.
- Mixing of SPS with non-essential product standards.
- Capacity limitations (and misuse of limited capacities).
- Cumbersome and repetitive procedures.
- Little trust in each other's SPS systems.
- Political economy factors, weak institutions.



To support a single window approach...

Review and streamlining of SPS measures.

- Many shared pests and diseases, especially in regional trade.
- Unnecessary, unproductive, and/or duplicate procedures.

Back end procedures may need improving.

- Permits that can only be approved by PS or committee?
- Use of risk based inspections?

Detailed SPS information also required, possibly through dedicated "SPS Portal".

- Commodity specific declaration requirements.
- Detailed pest and disease lists.
- List of approved mitigations.
- Registry of approved fumigators.
- Scientific data and bibliographies.



SPS issues in regional trade

- Cross border trade of food staples vastly important to poor farmers and poor consumers.
 - Small traders, most of whom are women, typically dominate regional food trade.
 - Large number of small consignments make SPS inspections difficult if not impossible.
 - Formal procedures and high costs push traders into informal routes where there are no controls at all, and various types of abuse and corruption easily happen.
- Simplified Trade Regime (STR) for customs purposes, but what solutions exist for SPS measures?
 - Monitoring of production areas, warehouse certification, regional pest lists.
 - Single windows and other electronic platforms tailored to the needs of small traders.



Emerging ICT tools and applications

Examples include:

- Mobil Assay mReader
- AccuScan Gold Reader
- P-tracker
- Various pest recognition apps and services.

Potential uses (...if ISO compliant?):

- Warehouse certification
- Risk based field and border inspections
- Cloud based data tracking and sharing
- Farmer extension









Opportunities for improved SPS management in the EAC



Current situation

- Over 200 regional standards in food and agriculture including more than 40 standards for food staples and livestock products of direct importance to smallholders.
- Unfortunately...
 - EAC standards cover legitimate food safety matters <u>and</u> non-essential quality specifications (e.g. maize and rice).
 - All standards made into mandatory regulations on food safety grounds (...and "to prevent dumping").
 - All standards treated as copywritten material.
 - No guidance on how to implement the regulations.
 - Several specifications go beyond CODEX with little or no scientific justification or notification of WTO members.
- Repetitive and possibly needless certification of every individual consignment (except for small traders who avoid inspection).



SPS measures as a trade barrier

- Difficult and expensive for EAC to import from other African countries or even to trade 100% legally within the community.
 - Standards mainly applied to extra-regional imports, including imports from other African countries.
 - High cost to source and test compliant products.
 - Smallholder maize often grown with OPVs and/or sundried.
 - Small traders not able to afford costs of compliance.
 - Grain goes across in small (informal) loads that add to the total costs of trade and without any SPS protection.



What can be done about this?

- Dialogue focused on separating SPS from non-essential standards.
 - Support (and influence) ongoing review by national authorities, private sector, East Africa Grain Council and others.
 - Possible role for CODEX in clarifying food safety and quality matters?
- Develop (and promote!) implementing guidelines.
- Certification of warehouses, flour mills, and dairy chains backed by new ICT systems (...if compatible with ISO methods?).
 - Cloud based reporting of mycotoxin and other test results linked to SPS certification (possible "blanket" permits for extended periods?)
 - Quality certificates used to speed border transactions.
 - Risk-based spot inspection of warehouses and consignments.
- Free access to mandatory regulations.
- Regional pest lists and investment priorities (regional P-IMA?)



Opening new markets through APEI



Untapped potential for regional trade

Current situation

- Global imports that could be supplied by neighbors in mainland Africa.
- Pest and disease risks from mainland Africa justifiably a big worry for island nations.
 - Local authorities unresponsive to information requests.
 - Alleged under reporting of pests and disease.
 - Potential exporters unsure of what is required for market entry.
 - Outdated pest and disease lists.

Potential solutions

- Peer-2-Peer learning and information exchange.
 - Joint risk assessments for strategic commodities (PRAs with Madagascar in early 2000s led to > \$15m annual trade today).
 - Regional "SPS Portal" online pest lists and data sharing.
- ICT applications to improve traceability and help track pest and disease outbreaks.



Fundamental SPS management constraints in CEMAC



Fundamental challenges in CEMAC

Current situation

- Unrecorded agriculture trade nearly equal to all recorded intra-regional trade, mainly by medium size operators.
- Virtually all trade is unidirectional from Cameroon out.
- Only Cameroon has formal SPS declaration conditions.
- Nevertheless, all exports are "certified" after visual inspection.
- Formal fees just a small part of total cost to exporter.
- For imports, Cameroon charges XAF 200,000 (US\$ 375) for consignment based import permit valid for six months.

Potential solutions

- Rationalization of SPS procedures.
- Publication of approved fees (electronic and other platforms).
- Performance based border management (with ICT-based reporting systems).



To summarize



Single windows and other ICT tools are a promising new direction in SPS management

Nevertheless, fundamental SPS constraints remain.

- Distinction between technical regulations and voluntary standards still mixed up and not clear to many.
- Systems geared to serve large, formal traders necessary yet small, informal trade often more relevant to poverty.
- Back end procedures and political economy factors determine how well any new technology (or SPS measure) will work.

Regional cooperation should be a strategic priority.

- Practical approaches include: Regional pest lists, regional investment priorities (regional P-IMA).
- Plus... ICT systems and capacity improvements that simplify inspections, support risk-based approaches, and serve small/regional traders.



Merci



