



Establishing Priorities for SPS Capacity Building in Mozambique Using a Multi – Criteria Decision – Making Framework

Geneva 24 – 25 June 2013

Mozambique , Ana Timana
National Institute For Fish Inspection



Use of the Provision of Veterinary Service (PVS)

- In Mozambique the OIE conducted the PVS Evaluation of Aquatic Animal Health Services and technical assistance on White Spot Disease in September 2011 to assist National Institute For Fish Inspection - INIP
- Summary:
- Human, Physical and Finance
- Technical Authority and capability
- Interaction with stakeholders
- Access to markets
- Conclusion
- Urgent Need for Aquatic Animal Health Service (AAHS)



MCDA process in Mozambique

Stakeholders involved:

- Public sector: Plant and animal health, fisheries, human health and Export Promotion Institute
- Academic – Eduard Mondlane University
- Who led the process was Spencer Henson



MCDA process in Mozambique(cont)

- Decision criteria, weights and capacity building identification:
- The participants were asked to identify all the capacity build needs faced in Mozambique using cards.
- The moderator collected cards and read out one- by-one and listed on a flip chart.
- The participants voted individually their selected options
- Then the procedure was to define the decision criteria weight are assigned.



MCDA process in Mozambique (cont)

- Then ranking was processed by the farmework and the out put were :
- Decision criteria scores
- Baseline prioritization
- Cost and trade prioritization
- Greater trade impact



Summary

- Determine pest status for banana and maintain pest-free status for banana the ranking was heighth.



Reflections on the MCDA analysis- benefits

- Provided a new tool to discuss and prioritize SPS capacity needs
- As results of applying MCDA the country was founded for two SPS activities those were heigth ranked namely:
- Mozambique fruit fly surveillance project and Aflotoxin project.
- The country is not ready to apply better to build SPS capacity build due internal arrangments.
- Brought together different SPS groups (food safety, codex, fisheries , animal and plant health).

Reflections on the MCDA analysis- Difficulties/Challenges



- More involvement of public and private sector, better explain the advantages and disadvantages of the process.
- Greater role for National institution to coordinate the stakeholders workshop:
 - ❖ Mobilization of national stakeholders
 - ❖ Invitations to the private sector



Suggestion for other countries

- The MCDA process can be good analyse to prioritize SPS funding, and the outcomes are evident, according the Mozambique experience.
- We recomend other countries to apply, although there is a need to involve effectively the national institutions in other to gain more participants and to be more transparent



Mensages to the STDF

- The STDF should wide this approach to others countries, based on experience of our country
- We thanks for the STDF to have provided all tools for running the framework in Mozambique. Ours selves we still need to asign a institutions to lead the process.



THANK YOU

